



Canvass of Candidates 2016

In the interest of providing voters an informed choice, approximately 80 candidates for Environment Canterbury (Christchurch), Christchurch City Council and some Christchurch Community Boards were given 5 days to answer 4 questions regarding the future use of the Ōtākaro Red Zone lands. Answers had to be submitted by midnight Sunday 28 August otherwise a "No Response Recieved" was recorded against the candidate. Emails were sent to all candidates with an email address registered with Elections NZ - 2 candidates did not have registered email addresses and were not included. The four questions in detail were:

Question 1: Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park that meets diverse community needs eg for environmental regeneration and restoration; recognition of cultural and community heritage; play, recreation and sport; food production; arts and entertainment; learning, training, employment, small business and tourism?

Question 2: While allowing for multiple uses as above, do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone, to enhance water quality, biodiversity and mahinga kai values (i.e: all other uses fit within a native forest and wetland park)?

Question 3: Do you support that the basis for deciding future use should be purely financial return to the Crown, or do you support that significant consideration should also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental returns and benefits for the communities, city and country?

Question 4: Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use? If yes, to what extent, where in the red zone, how and why?

RESPONSES: In total 61 responses were received back from different candidates, a return rate of 77%.

Environment Canterbury (Chch): 7 of 8 candidates responded

CCC Mayoralty: 2 of 2 candidates responded (3rd candidate did not have an email account)

CCC Council: 31 of 40 candidates responded

Chch Community Boards: 28 of 35 candidates responded [NB: Our intention was to only include Community Boards in the Central, NE, E and SE of Chch - however by mistake some other wards were also included so their feedback is included here too.]

For further information contact: Evan Smith, AvON Co-Chair, evan.smith@vodafone.co.nz or 029 739 9796

Craig Pauling

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

Yes, definitely.

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

Yes, totally.

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

Full consideration of quadruple bottom lines - cultural, environmental, social and economic.

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

I would be open to some residential land use in the RRZ, but only after consideration of the opportunity for a multi-purpose river park. If land could be proven to be stable and suitable small scale, sustainable housing could add to the river park. Some form of community decision making body for the RRZ could be developed to make such decisions.

Cynthia Roberts

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

A city-to-sea River Park is a wonderful concept. As an ecologist I would be looking for environmental and ecological processes to be integral part of the implementation of any plans alongside positive social outcomes for Christchurch citizens. Community engagement and input needs to play a major part in determining any plan.

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

Absolutely - as above - we have a wonderful opportunity to have a native forest park within the city - something we are seriously lacking in Christchurch. I strongly support the maximum possible restoration of ecosystems and mahinga kai values within the context of community-led decision-making.

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

There is a body of research that shows wellbeing is enhanced through nature. Thus a city-to-the-sea park delivers an economic outcome and is part of the argument that the Crown will be getting a return on its investment through enhanced social and cultural benefits resulting in healthier and happier citizens.

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

If in the planning, areas are identified as being suitable for residential use, then it is worth exploring including dwellings within the red zone, but only those that would enhance the kaupapa of the area. Essential to any plans would be that buildings and those that occupy them are sympathetic to the concept of restoring biodiversity. Recently Bernd Gundermann has been speaking in Christchurch exploring alternative housing ideas that are suitable for areas subject to climate change. There is a link below to one of his papers. However the focus needs to be shared community/educational space within a regenerating ecosystem/park first and foremost. http://locus-foundation.org/GlobalAward/monographs/2014/Bernd_Gundermann/gundermann_engl.pdf

Drucilla Kingi-Patterson

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

I'm very supportive

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

I'm very supportive

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

I'm very supportive of community benefits being valued

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

Not sure

Lan Pham

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

Yes absolutely! The red zone presents us with an incredible opportunity to restore and regenerate not only our unique christchurch ecosystems but ourselves and our communities. There's resounding community support to green the red zone in a way that allows people, nature and critters thrive and I would be thrilled to see that come to fruition.

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

We've been a bit short sighted in our land use and development decisions in the past - clearing and draining natural habitats and changing/degrading natural landscapes to our own detriment. We can't let past mistakes dictate our future progression. We now know the immense benefits wetlands and forests bring to all aspects of our wellbeing - so yes, I absolutely support maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems and welcome all the benefits from those! As a freshwater ecologist I've seen the wonders that environmental education and engagement can bring to communities and the flow on influence that restorative activities can have on biodiversity and water quality and of course, I want that here for my city.

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

I think more than significant consideration should, and must, be given to the value that the social, cultural, environmental and wellbeing benefits that intelligent future land use will bring. To base decisions purely on financial return would be blind and backward for the 21st century. Plonking lego house developments or shopping centres in the red zone aren't going to be what makes Christchurch an exciting and attractive destination for residents and visitors alike. Creating a space which is dynamic, interesting and adventurous is what will enable real future benefits - not only economically, but most importantly socially, environmentally and culturally.

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

Overall, no, I wouldn't like to see redevelopment of residential use as I think the most appropriate and valuable use is will be as shared community/educational space within a regenerating ecosystem that celebrates us, our history and the environment. In saying that, if there were spaces that were clearly suitable for residential use that would enhance the kaupapa of the area as a place that welcomes people in a healthy relationship with the natural environment then that may be appropriate, and in that case my preference would be that any residential development would be on the fringes of the red zone land.

Rik Tindall

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

No Response Received

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

No Response Received

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

No Response Received

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

No Response Received

Rod Cullinane

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

Yes

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

Yes

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

I do not support financial return as being the only basis for decision making. Other factors such as suggested must be taken into account.

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

Red zoned land has been deemed unfit for residential and should not be considered for such use again.

Steve Lowndes

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

Yes

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

Yes

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

Support cultural, social and environmental returns

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

No. It will always be low lying land we need to be mindful of rising water tables.

Terry Huggins

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

Congratulations to the Avon-Otakaro Red Zone group for planning an ongoing initiative to landscape and improve the environment along the Avon River to South Shore.

Like you I was in a red zone in Sumner after the earthquakes and eventually I was forced to move out. I bought in Geraldine a property with a quarter acre garden. I am standing for ECAN as I have extensive knowledge of farming, water management and climate change. I farmed near Pleasant Point in the sixties at a time when we didn't pollute our rivers, we didn't topdress and our irrigation equipment was limited.

I believe that this continuous eutrophication of rivers, lakes and aquifers is insane and will lead to catastrophe. Farmers don't have to pollute, topdressing is not even cost effective. With the changing climate action has to be taken to reclaim Canterbury water and use irrigation conservatively without pollution. That is my challenge.

Although I lived in Burwood in young adulthood I haven't seen what has happened in your red zone district. But I applaud you for organising a positive revival plan to make the most of the area near the Avon.

Some points of interest. Consider some olive groves. Olives are drought resistant to some degree. Check the water table prior to planting trees. Consider a few areas of allotments with organized groups having small plots.

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

As above

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

As above

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

I have no idea if some housing development is possible

MAYORALTY - COUNCIL

John Minto

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

Yes - a rare chance to recapture a section of the city to reflect our natural environment and strong community values.

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

Absolutely yes. This would be a taonga for our grandchildren and great grandchildren.

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

Financial return to the Crown should NOT be a reconsideration (after the 1931 Napier earthquake the city borrowed heavily from the government for the rebuild. In 1938 those loans were written off by the government - in other words New Zealand as a whole paid the cost of the rebuild as they should do for Christchurch)

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

No - I think we need to look ahead to the exciting opportunity that the "city-to-sea river park" offers.

Lianne Dalziel

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

Yes I support that but the question almost assumes that elected representatives have more say than anyone else. We have taken a leap of faith as a council and agreed to set up Regenerate Christchurch with the Crown to lead the planning and engagement for the future of what I'm now calling the Regeneration Zone. I think this offers a real opportunity for genuine collaboration and meaningful exchange. The Government has given up full control in favour of local leadership. On their website, Regenerate Christchurch describes itself as 'a new kind of partnership enabling the Crown and the Christchurch City Council to work together with the local community to plan the city's future'. Regenerate ChCh working together with the community will produce regeneration plans. We as a Council will express a view on those proposals.

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

Yes. The Regeneration Zone will allow for the eco-recovery of the city. I lived in Bexley because I loved the wetlands - I think the whole area could become wetlands again. I have served as Patron of Travis Wetlands because I believe we can have the most significant area of wetlands within a city anywhere in the world. This is an opportunity second to none. Restoration of Mahinga Kai values enables us to embrace our cultural and natural heritage.

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

The latter.. I understand the government's desire for a return on what has been a significant investment in reducing our city's exposure to future risk, but they haven't asked Regenerate ChCh to make this the sole basis for their proposals. The city's infrastructure needs are in the same category - stopbanks, roads, pipes for water, stormwater and wastewater - needs to be taken into account. Regenerate Christchurch will take all these matters into account

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

This question depends on a number of factors which I still don't fully understand. Some land is better than other land. And with large areas completely cleared the cost benefit ratio of area wide land repair is improved. I wouldn't rule out supporting some development especially if it were to allow for trialling lighter potentially relocatable housing and community facilities. We could lead the world in preparing for an uncertain future by trialling new technologies and building techniques

Cruze Erueti

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

The value of each of these ideas and proposals, while not necessarily complete in their planning, would add opportunity for remarkable change in the physical and social landscape of our eastern communities. Creating a sense of connection to our City and its continued development.

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

I am in full support of initiatives that allow us to not only lower our carbon footprint, but also make an investment into the future generations. "Naku te rourou nau te rourou ka ora ai te iwi."

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

I support that significant consideration should be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental returns for our communities, city and country. Investing our time and resources in such a manner, can help bring help bring a sense of self sustainability.

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

Yes and No.

Glenn Livingstone

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

I support a multi-purpose city to sea River Park - BUT I think there is a question that needs to be answered prior to responding to that and that is around process and engaging with the local, host communities over what they would prefer, along with the communities of interest and their various projects.

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

Yes I do support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Otakaro Red Zone, for those reasons: in fact this is critical and fundamental to any uses of the area, so to protect its integrity.

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

I support the latter. While I accept the Crown seeks a financial return, this should not override the other returns. We must remember the process that first uprooted people from their homes and land and take note of the fact that, though this process was couched as an 'offer', many of my constituents were in fact harangued to leave their homes. It should also be noted that current signage on the residential red zone land says 'Clearance.'.....

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

I do not support the redevelopment of this land for residential use. To redevelop it for such use would be tantamount to saying that the land could have been suitable to remain on with rebuilt homes.

BURWOOD - COMMUNITY BOARD

Brian G Steer

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

Yes

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

Yes

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

Local benefits

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

No, not for residential use in the near future.

Linda Stewart

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

Yes. It needs to support the communities needs as detailed above. Be a eco-attraction for the city. A carefully considered and consulted (community/city/national interests) development plan that will be an inspiration to the international recovery observers.

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

Yes but I would like to see some food foresting of a conventional nature as well. Also water/flood management considerations

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

We understand the government does want to retrieve the cost of red zoning but the first consideration should be in developing benefits to the community that lost, the city and country as an outstanding eco-sanctuary with some tourism benefits. There needs to be a widely consulted plan that outlines where any built or tourism focused operations would be permitted and where any re-housing would be permitted.

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

I favour no residential development. The land would need to be engineered, but land repair was not favoured as an option for those having to remain on their land. Only their building footprint was reinforced. The land repair cost will be too high. Turn the land into a world class eco-recreational sanctuary so no I don't favour residential re-development.

Sela Faletolu-Fasi

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

No Response Received

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

No Response Received

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

No Response Received

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

No Response Received

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

No Response Received

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

No Response Received

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

No Response Received

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

No Response Received

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

I believe there is immense value that can be delivered to the communities of the city by developing a multipurpose city-to-sea river park. I have worked alongside Avon-Otakaro Network (AvON) and Eastern Vision (EV) for many years in my role with Rebuild Christchurch. I have facilitated engagement of communities so they have a voice through firstly our ideas lounge, then through providing a platform for AvON and EV on the Rebuild Christchurch Website.

These helped support project champions articulate the aspirations of their communities and eventually we worked together to produce EVO::SPACE a consultative website to discuss the ideas and develop a potential integrated plan.

After this we again collaborated and developed the Eyes East TV series which filmed all those champions and again provided through rebuild Christchurch and EVO::SPACE a place for people to have grass roots consultation.

All this work has led to well-developed plans with the communities involved well engaged and ready to take the conversation city and country wide with Regenerate Christchurch. On council I want to realise these years of hard work and continue to work alongside the communities to advocate for the aspirations of that integrated plan.

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

International research shows a massive positive impact for residents who live and interact within nature. I want to see this for Christchurch. The residential red zone in particular through natural restoration can deliver massive positive impacts for both environmental and community health and wellbeing. The work I have done alongside AvON in planting native trees at the Mahinga Kai Exemplar to restore that diverse and significant ecosystem shows I am dedicated to this concept.

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

While I understand the government must consider the interests of all tax payers in New Zealand with the residential red zone land there has been considerable cash return to the government already through the insurance policy recoveries and the EQC land claims which are recovered from international reinsurance. On top of all this, the economic activity in the Christchurch Rebuild generally has recovered considerable cash returns in income taxes and GST take.

With this in mind I believe significant consideration in the future use of the residential red zone should be measured not just in dollar term value but consider as a priority. The value of the cultural, social and environmental returns and benefits for the communities surrounding the zone, and the city as a whole We will all now and into the future benefit from happy and healthy city with people values as the focus.

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

I am realistic about the redevelopment of some of the red zone for residential property. I only support such development in areas that include the outer fringes and in the upper reaches where flooding risks are lower. Areas which will not detract from the city to sea river park concept.

I believe the boundary areas provide a unique opportunity. The current boundaries are a series of straight lines that follows roads or the backs of sections. We need to look at ways the edge can be intergrated well with any future green/blue space; we need to look at rezoning in these boundary areas to allow increased housing density so that we maximise the number of homes with direct access to the green/blue space amenity (and increase the value of the most hard hit TC3 land/properties in the city in the process).

We also need to remember this is flood plain and any development can not interfere with this or pollute it. The vision of a multi-purpose city-to-sea River Park is fabulous for the regeneration of the city but we also need to recognise that this land and water has many emotions, traumas, memories and tangata whenua values that need to be acknowledged and respected. There are people who still live in the residential red zone. These people must not be left behind in any conversations and actions that take place.

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

Yes.

Christchurch has the opportunity to be; financially strong, environmentally prepared, accessible, affordable and a desirable city to live in. I believe the Otakaro-Avon River Park is the pivotal anchor project for Christchurch to achieve these outcomes.

I would place this project in an environmental preparedness context, as it is important to consider this project as a sea-to-city River Park. The Christchurch City Council has already started to write plans in anticipation of future sea-level rise and coastal-inundation. Any projects that are chosen for the Otakaro-Avon River Park must anticipate the evolving changes this landscape will undergo over the next 50-100 years and beyond.

We must consider what we do in the Otakaro-Avon River Park by following the future path of the river from the sea to the city. This will ensure that we are environmentally and commercially preparing Christchurch city, on behalf of its residents and its business owners.

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

Yes.

One of my personal favourite projects (that I talk about to anyone who will listen to me) is Colin Meurk's proposed 150ha fenced Eco-Sanctuary. Christchurch has the opportunity to be the international exemplar city for environmental preparedness, while also ensuring the ongoing financial strength of the city.

A project of this scale with a wetland sanctuary inside a city's boundaries would be unique in the world and would attract tourists and researchers from all over the world. Supporting a native ecosystem restoration project would bring tourism and research revenue into our city. But it would also be the first step in the process of protecting our residents and businesses from the environmental changes that will continue to impact the landscape in the red zone.

Supporting projects such as wetland restoration allows the landscape to self-manage water and wildlife and plants. It is also a first step for the Christchurch City Council to mitigate remediation and relocation expenses in the future, when our coast line and river banks begin to move inland

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

Both.

I have a strong background in business, education, governance, NGO's and sport. This enables me to be well informed across a wide range of issues and I will work very hard to maintain a balance between financial imperatives, environmental considerations and social expectations.

I support Regeneration Christchurch CEO Ivan Lafeta's sentiment that "building a bridge between community and officialdom" is imperative. As your representative on the Christchurch City Council I would be strongly advocating for community initiatives to be brought to the council table for due consideration. However, it is equally important to evaluate these initiatives based on well documented business cases, that also include the social and environmental benefits for the city, its residents and its business owners

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

Yes & No.

I do believe that the government has a right to evaluate how it might recover some or all of its investment in the Red Zone land that it purchased.

I do believe that there is a possibility for commercial activity to be undertaken on Red Zone land that might provide the government with a return on its investment.

However, I am uncertain at this time whether re-establishing residential land use of the Red Zone land is a good long term financial investment, given the future environmental changes that this land will undergo, as the coast line and river banks move closer to the city.

I am more inclined to encourage the government to consider the commercial developments options that have been flagged by the Christchurch City Council in its draft plan, which are low impact “yard-type business” such as market garden, nurseries, farmer’s markets, tiny house communities and rentable allotments for apartment locked inner-city dwellers.

I also believe that proposed projects such as the International Flat Water Sports Lake have great commercial potential, especially if it is packaged with the international sports facilities that are being proposed for the inner city and beyond. Sports-tourism could be added to our city’s mix of revenue generating opportunities, alongside eco-tourism and research-tourism.

I do not believe that the government needs to build houses on Red Zone land in order to get a return on the money it spent on buying this land. I do believe that if the government is to consider the on-going revenue generating possibilities of the many projects that have been proposed for the Otakaro-Avon River Park, then the government would recognise that by investing in community-led initiatives such as these, that it would be ensuring that Christchurch will continue to be a financially strong, environmentally prepared, accessible, affordable and desirable city to live in.

I believe the Otakaro-Avon River Park is our pivotal anchor project, if we are to achieve these outcomes for our city.

Lindon (Boyce) Boyce

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

No Response Received

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

No Response Received

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

No Response Received

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

No Response Received

Vicki Tahau Paton

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

I do support the regeneration of this area, but also feel that a number of factors need to be taken into account to ensure that the final result ticks those boxes. It is important that the outcomes make a positive contribution to our environment, ecosystem and social environment. And it is respectful of its history and uniqueness.

For this to be successful it is vital that there is community and local iwi. One would hope that all projects are on a level playing field and each entity is respectful of each other.

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

Yes I strongly support the maximum possible restoration of the ecosystems and mahinga kai values, but also believe that it needs to be an iwi and community consultation process in all decision making.

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

I believe that consideration needs to be given to the economic, cultural, social and environmental values and well being. The community and iwi need to be included in the decision making of any projects that are considered and actioned, there is many huge benefits that will result from this regeneration. I believe that the return to the crown does not need to be about dollars and cents which is shallow business approach. The return of investment is the participation and unity of all entities partaking for our future generations to enjoy.

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

I have been selling real estate for more than 15 years, we are not running out of suitable land for residential use, I strongly feel the best use of this area is the regeneration of our ecosystem and shared community/educational space.

Alex Wootton

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

The Ōtākaro Red Zone represents a fantastic opportunity to create an innovative and unique green space that has community needs at its heart. I support the Avon-Ōtākaro Network in advocating for this.

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

I am very passionate about the restoration of native ecosystems. Our city has a chance to create a renewed riparian area that demonstrates our commitment to the taonga that is our environment.

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

I believe that our community should be considered the priority when determining the future use of the Ōtākaro Red Zone. The benefits and returns are invaluable.

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

The Ōtākaro Red Zone is no longer suitable for residential redevelopment. A multipurpose river park and eco-reserve is by far the best use for this area.

Jake McLellan

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

Yes, very much so! We have a chance to create something special and profound that should be enjoyed by and positively contribute to the lives of people in all these ways that actually matter.

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

Definitely, this would and should be the guiding basis to the character of Ōtākaro Red Zone and any redevelopment decisions.

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

The cultural, social and environmental contributions to the economy should be paramount when making future use decisions. It will make both financial sense and be the most astute way to approach this unique opportunity to create something special.

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

There is plenty of other land available for residential development, however, I would support a limited amount of development in the few areas where it made sense according to the unique character of the area.

Peter Yearbury

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

Very much so. Walk the area often.

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

Yes 100%

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

Most definitely no benefit to the Crown. Its all about our cultural, social and environmental matters that are most important here.

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

No, unless the land can be made safe and is required for people to live on.

Robin Tinga

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

Yes! As a former rower I have been fortunate enough to visit rowing venues overseas central to a wide range of recreational activities. The 2km rowing lake could support a multitude of activities recreational and commercial including sailing, kayaking, dragonboating, cafes and rental facilities for watersports, cycling etc. I totally support the redevelopment of the RRZ as an 'ecological and recreational reserve' sensitive to cultural and community heritage.

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

From feedback I have received in meeting with the local community, there is great concern regarding water quality of our local rivers. I would strongly support restoration of native ecosystems, biodiversity and mahinga Kai values within the context of community consultation process.

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

The value of cultural, social and environmental returns should be the core of decision making, with careful consideration that economic returns support the red zone development and the region.

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

With respect to all who lost property in the red zone, if an area were identified suitable for residential use, only with sensitivity and consultation; however generally I feel it should be dedicated as a space to be shared by all.

Sally Buck

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

Yes

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

Yes

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

I support that significant consideration should also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental returns and benefits for the community.

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

No

Wayne Hawker

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

No Response Received

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

No Response Received

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

No Response Received

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

No Response Received

David East

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

Yes. I have long considered that the future of the eastern suburbs lies more in activating the huge number of recreation opportunities, restoration of natural ecosystems and developing connections both to the city and the Coastline. There needs to be a network of cycle ways (off road) and facilities that cater for a wide range of aquatic recreation coupled with rest areas and Mahinga Kai plantings that people can utilise. The catch phrase "the place where the city comes to play" is most appropriate but perhaps needs to be expanded to reflect natural habitats - their restoration and future usage.

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

I am very supportive of an aquatic lake that is capable of hosting a multitude of aquatic activities. The development of retention basins to enhance water quality and to assist with flooding issues is also important as is the restoration of natural ecosystems. I don't believe that one activity has priority but would like to see the most efficient balance of usage utilised that in the end offers a win win outcome for all concerned.

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

Financial return to the Crown should be the lowest criteria that should be implemented. What is best for Christchurch is the top priority. The crown will get it's return on increased taxation from the greater usage of the RRZ area and Christchurch through increased population in the city and the flow on of business and employment opportunities. Businesses and activities that are involved in the redevelopment of the Red Zone and to a greater extent, the city, will pay taxes (GST, PAYE) both in the development stages and ongoing activities. The Government has more than recovered its investment in infrastructure in Christchurch through big increases in GST and PAYE gathered on the infrastructure replacement and massive rebuilding projects.

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

Generally no. However there may be the possibility of some fringe areas being rehabilitated and sales of such pockets of land could subsidise restoration projects or the construction of recreation facilities

Dean Lester

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

ABSOLUTELY

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

MOST DEFINITELY

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

Future use must provide a legacy and be positive for our city. It is not about a financial return for the Crown, it is about the most appropriate use that is of benefit to Christchurch.

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

NO. The land suffered significant and extensive damage. There is a high risk of further damage to land and buildings from low levels of shaking and the natural habitat will most easily adapt to this. Infrastructure needs to be completely rebuilt and this high cost is not one that the CCC can support and sustain when we need to have a prudent approach to costs. Land repair solutions will be difficult to implement, prolonged and disruptive to neighbouring land owners.

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

YES - Believe this must be community led with funding partnerships between the Government , CCC,ECAN, Ngai Tahu and private enterprise. There is a wealth of opportunities for this area that must reflect a legacy for what has been lost, what we have learnt and what we should leave for further generations

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

Yes - but still must have community engagement to drive the priorities and to ensure that planning considers funding and how to implement the ongoing maintenance of the area that considers sustainability

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

This area should be gifted back to the community , the priorities considered and the funding mechanisms from partners identified as soon as possible.

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

No -although it is possible to engineer/plan/build for residential houses I believe this whole zone including Waimakariri should now belong to the community for a vision that incorporates the very best use for these zones reflecting , environmental , social, cultural and economic advantages for all in the wider Community

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

Absolutely, You have pretty much summed up the perfect combination in your question. Multipurpose is a must, our communities have lost so much and I want to see an outcome that is as broad spectrum as possible especially focused on the environment, recreation and learning opportunities for all ages, abilities and interests. One of the things we don't have a lot of in the eastern suburbs is cultural and community heritage and we have lost even more with the loss of many suburbs and community spaces. I would love to see respect paid to our cultural past and also our more recent losses in an innovative and fun learning way. Never in our history on this planet have we had so much time available for recreation and sport and I would love to see as many activities as possible catered for while still maintaining the natural assets of EVERY area. Arts and entertainment could easily go hand in hand with this opportunity. Innovative and respectful food production and Mahinga Kai areas are a fantastic idea and one I support fully. We need to get back to local food production and teach our children the value and resources of the land. There are just so many exciting possibilities that could be world leading, community building and just plain incredible. It is so exciting to be facing these opportunities and honouring to remember those who lost their homes and businesses and I really hope for (and will continue to advocate for) full community engagement and participation in the process.

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

Yes absolutely, I believe with modern, creative and innovative solutions and looking at lessons from our forefathers we can create something that is the best of both worlds, something that allows for multipurpose use of the areas and restores and rejuvenates the land. The current grassland isn't cutting it as small creatures cannot get from one tree to the next and it seems only the possums and Canadian geese are the benefactors. There are many great opportunities for fun, learning and life while also respecting and enhancing (and undoing past ills) the gift this disaster has given us. I fully back any and all plans that improve water quality, biodiversity and mahinga kai values. We have been given one land and we must be respectful stewards of that land.

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

I absolutely disagree with the main/only basis should be financial return for the government. The government have been one of the few to come out on top from this disaster, they have benefited massively already from the 'GST take' on the multi-billion dollar rebuild alone. The communities, both those who lost their homes and businesses to the red zone decisions, but also those who now boarder the area are the one who have lost. The stress, the loss of amenities, the financial impact etc... so far there has been no real compensation for this. I feel strongly that the community deserves to have factors such as cultural, social and environmental returns taken into account and with more weighting than the governments financial return.

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

I would be wary of supporting the redevelopment of the land for residential use. I would say that for around 90% of the land that has been deemed red zone I would be totally against rebuilding houses. To me this disrespects those who lost so much and calls into question the validity of the decisions to red zone in the first place. I am open to the reality that there may be circumstances where it does happen, but to me this would be on a very case by case basis with a high threshold argument needing to be made in favour. I cannot see though how this could really be feasible as there are many areas that border the red zone that should probably have been red zoned initially anyway and with the effects of sea level rise, our only 'temporary' stop banks and my knowledge as a trained geologist which knows the land is severely compromised I cannot see how any plan to put houses back there would ever stack up economically for a developer. I remember studying at Canterbury University about 20 years ago and I went on a field trip for my Environmental Geohazards paper. We went to Bexley, Horseshoe Lake and many other places which are now red zoned. The course was almost prophetic. The geologists all knew the dangers but the developers money talked and consents were given where in hindsight they should never have been granted. I just hope now our memories and learnings will be remembered and we won't make the same mistakes again all for the benefit of a few money makers.

Kim Money

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

I support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park that meets the needs of a diverse range of community needs.

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

I support taking a balanced approach to the restoration of native eco systems alongside sustainable community driven development within the Ōtākaro Red Zone.

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

I believe decisions around the future use of the Ōtākaro Red Zone land should balance the financial returns of the crown with values of the Avon-Ōtākaro Network, other community groups and interested parties.

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

More information would be required before I could make a decision either way on this issue.

Tim Sintes

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

All for it, Also please consider residents homes in Sthshore. Please no experiments on the estuary edge, give them back what they had befor. Otherwise excited about the vision.just not at the expense of families

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

Yes though depends on where you are talking.would like more info

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

Enough room for both I would have thought.

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

I think there are some parts of the red zone that could have houses, more into town ,obviously depends on the land condition.i believe we need to rebuild more houses in some areas, homes have families, families make up communities.

Anne Galloway

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

I believe that positive environmental and ecological outcomes are essential and that positive social outcomes are also key given the history of the land acquisition and the unique opportunity presented to regenerate this large space.

The regeneration of the RRZ will almost certainly be the subject of a regeneration plan. Community engagement and input will be necessary and is guaranteed through the provisions of the act. This community input needs to be respected and needs to play a major part in determining the outcomes.

It is important that the Regeneration Plan process is entered into with an open mind, and specific projects aren't given special treatment.

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

I strongly support maximum possible restoration of ecosystems and mahinga kai values within the context of community-lead decision-making.

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

I believe that significant consideration should be given to social, cultural, environmental and wellbeing benefits of red zone land. Community involvement should be a core part of making those decisions

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

Yes I do support this if there are spaces that are clearly suitable for residential use that would enhance the kaupapa of the area as a place that welcomes people. But, by and large, I think the most appropriate use is as a shared community/educational space within a regenerating ecosystem/park.

Chrys Horn

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

Yes

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

Yes

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

definitely not just purely financial return to the Crown - this is a huge area in our city and needs to benefit our communities and City as well as NZ and to ensure that there are cultural, social and environmental returns as well as economic ones, no matter who those returns accrue to

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

I cant honestly answer this - my gut reaction is NO! I haven't looked at this closely. However given that this is low lying land and liable to be flooded as sea level rises I think we should see this as part of a managed retreat from the coast and low lying areas and not spend huge amounts of taxpayers money to remediate it for housing.

Kevin Clarke

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

No Response Received

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

No Response Received

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

No Response Received

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

No Response Received

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

Yes

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

Yes

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

Significant consideration should be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental returns and benefits for the communities, city and country.

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

No

Aaron Keown

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

No Response Received

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

No Response Received

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

No Response Received

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

No Response Received

Brian Gargiulo

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

Yes to an extent. I would like to see the land to be grassed off, roads left, fenced for farming stock. Walkways and cycle ways included throughout the red zone (Very similar to what can be seen in England in farming areas). By being creative and using some of the roads already in place we can keep costs down. I also believe that the Avon River should be straightened within this area for rowing facilities or a purpose built rowing facility to be built within this area.

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

I think anywhere you can create/restore native ecosystems that allows farming of the land should be encouraged.

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

Whilst the Crown own the land you have to consider people's needs, plus the tax payer who funded it because at some time in the future engineering developments may allow this land to be returned to housing. In the mean time decisions need to be based on both financial return and community well being.

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

Yes I do when engineering permits. I think this area can lend itself to not hard housing but for the Eco system and housing to co exist different to what we are used to or what was there before.

Faimeh Burke

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

Yes, a forest park and wetlands for the Red Zone could create a new identity for Christchurch as a true river-based city. In addition to offering a great place for recreational activities, it would benefit Christchurch in many areas such as forest park and wetlands, native biodiversity, reduction of air pollution, contaminant removal from the Avon, carbon storage, flood management, storm water resilience, and mental and physical health.

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

Yes, considering these values would remind us that we should let the land to return to its original habitat. Rehabilitation and restoration of forest ecosystems are our tools to tackle major environmental problems of our time - climate change, biodiversity loss and desertification. I think planting the Red Zone with native trees and bush and incorporating it with a network of bike and walking tracks, community parks, natural play areas, café function and visitor centre with education would be great. It would also see the return of many native birds, pukekos, tuis, kererus and fantails.

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

I agree that significant consideration should be given to the value of culture, social and environmental returns which would benefit the communities, city and country. It would be possible to meet a diverse range of cultural, social, ecological and economic needs in the red zone, with a joined up holistic approach. The economy can benefit from the suggested multipurpose park, as it could become a source of income in the future, by attracting a lot of tourists.

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

It is important to keep in mind the technical constraints of the land and build consensus as a community about the future of the red zone. Matters such as cultural ecology and lie of the land - how this affects the flow of water which in future could be impacted by future sea level rise, should all be considered when decisions are made. I think it would be good to have a multi-use revenue generating visitor centre with education, cafe, function, and hire facilities, in the city-to-sea River Park.

Rod D Cameron

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

Yes

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

Yes

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

No doubt the Crown will consider the financial return but it is imperative they listen to all opinions submitted in the consultation process and to make their decisions accordingly with due consideration to all stakeholders views along with the least possible compromises having to be made.

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

I hesitatingly say yes. The extent and where would naturally depend or be limited by the amount of land that is technically suitable to sustain redevelopment. My vision would be to see limited or sparsely located eco style housing which is entirely sympathetic with the surrounding environs. Why? - Limited or sparse redevelopment would give the Crown the opportunity to at least recover some financial return which in turn would mean that more of the above ideals (Questions 1,2,&3) could become a reality.

Malcolm Davis

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

It is for the people to decide.

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

It is for the people to decide

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

It is for the people to decide

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

It is for the people to decide.

Paul Lonsdale

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

Yes I do support the idea of having a multipurpose city to sea rive park. The creation of the red zone has not only depleted the north east of the city of people it has become synonomous with the ravages of the earthquake. We have a chance to restore not only equity to the east through the regeneration of this area but provide a new ecological heart to our city.

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

Yes I support maximising maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems. This is a very large area and will accomodate the naturalisation while providing room for other initiatives to take place. I have a YouTube video which highlights my support of improving our waterquality - some of which is through restoration of ecosystems. You can find this video and other by clicking on this link - <https://m.youtube.com/user/paullonsdale1>

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

I think social, cultural, environmental should play the lead roles in its restoration. My understanding is the crown would like to see some sort of return on the land but personally I believe that achieving social, cultural and environmental returns will provide an economical return of some magnitude to the city if done right. This of course any economical benefit for Christchurch naturally plays out on a national scale.

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

Yes I believe with new foundation technology and a better understanding of our land some residential could return to this land. I think to achieve what we want to see in the Red Zone there will need to be some commercial development, whether that is the return of some residential with a small amount of commercial to help fund all other restoration aspects. At this point I could not say exactly how much should be redeveloped or where but it would need to be placed in a heiracy of order with all other wishes and wants for the area. There would also need to be very careful and sympathetic consultation on whatever we do on this land due to the compulsory nature of its acquisition.

Sara Templeton

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

Yes

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

Absolutely

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

The primary consideration for deciding the future use of the Otakaro Red Zone should be the long term environmental, social and cultural benefits for the city and country.

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

Not currently.

HEATHCOTE - COMMUNITY BOARD

Craig Todd

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

No Response Received

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

No Response Received

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

No Response Received

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

No Response Received

Darrell Latham

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

Absolutely! A multipurpose Park will be a city wide attraction as well as a wonderful area of recreation for all Christchurch residents and visitors.

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

I support the restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone to enhance water quality, biodiversity and mahinga kai values. Community needs also require to be considered and taken into account.

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

No to the future use should be purely financial return to the Crown and yes, to significant consideration should also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental returns and benefits for the communities, city and country.

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

If parts of the residential red zone prove habitable based on engineering advice and sufficient available area for real communities then yes. However, not on the basis of isolated small plots scattered throughout the red zone.

Rosemary Neave

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

Absolutely support this idea, it is a unique opportunity for Christchurch to become an eco-city and many of its aims support community aspirations from the Share and Idea

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

Yes, this will also provide opportunity to adapt to future climate change and storm events. Where it is possible to regenerate some of the land for housing, then this should be focused around sustainability and community and relate strongly to the surrounding a green zone.

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

True cost benefit analysis needs to be applied to this, not a simple cash exchange. This should include the natural capital that will be provided for the city by the native ecosystems, and such things as edible forests in places, support for food gathering, and recreational and tourism benefits of walking and cycling.

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

Only if some land can be identified as being suitable or able to be made suitable, and if that land is developed in strong relationship to the green zone. As Bernd Gundermann has suggested, some space for experimental housing that can adapt to climate change and sea level changes.

Tim Lindley

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

For the last three years I have chaired the Christchurch Coastal Pathway Group and see a city to sea River Park as a highly complementary way to celebrate and use the natural water way resources our city has. Christchurch has long been known for its English heritage buildings and botanic gardens. The city also has a stunning and extensive natural environment and the Coastal Pathway and the city-to-sea River Park projects provide a great opportunity to make much better use of it.

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

Very much so. For a long time, as a city, we walked in the direction of polluting our air and our waterways and destroying the natural environment. We have begun to walk in the other direction by cleaning up the air and piping our treated effluent away from our rivers. We need to keep walking in the right direction until our waterways are clean and our landscape supports natural biodiversity.

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

The by-line for my campaign for Heathcote Ward Community Board is "for communities you will love to live in". Economic value is important and lack of jobs is highly destructive to any community; however for communities people will love to live in, we also need strong cultural, social and environmental values to be expressed and enjoyed.

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

I do not know enough about the technical questions this issue raises to answer it now.

Una O'Grady

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

Yes, I support this initiative. From my perspective, anything in the cultural, arts and entertainment area increases community involvement and improves mental health.

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

Yes. Biodiversity and water quality is important as we adapt to future climate change. Sustainable management of the possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Otakaro Red Zone is the key.

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

I support future use for cultural, social, recreational, tourism, and environmental returns and benefits for the communities. Any further comment by a Community Board candidate needs to be supported by an analysis report of cost and benefits.

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

Ask the community. I strongly feel any redevelopment of red zone land needs to be debated with the existing community. If it is possible to redevelop some land, then we need to focus around sustainability of this land for the existing community.

Jimmy Chen

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

No Response Received

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

No Response Received

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

No Response Received

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

No Response Received

Manjit Singh

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

yes, as it will help in community engagement. It is also going to be beneficial for local flora and fauna.

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

yes because restoration of native ecosystems will help in regeneration of native species. It will also help keeping the traditional practices of gathering food and natural resources which are of high importance in Maori culture

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

I believe that there should be some discussions around this and agree that consideration should be given in community involvement.

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

To me safety of the Christchurch residents is paramount and if redevelopment of red zone for residential use is not compromising safety of anyone I will be for the redevelopment

Sara Harnett Kikstra

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

Yes if it were possible for this to be multipurpose I would support it to meet as many of the communities needs as possible. However I recognise there may be some limitations regarding the practicality of this implementation. As the land has been red zoned this may limit the extent to which this land can be used for buildings serving learning, tourism businesses etc. I believe the key to its success is to ensure that the primary intent is an ecological corridor which could also be used as a transport corridor for cyclists and pedestrians and also recreation.

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

Yes I believe the primary intent should be an ecological corridor which would include enhancement of the water quality. Amenities such as a transport corridor for cyclists and pedestrians as well as recreational use would fit well within a native forest and wetland park environment.

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

I believe the future use of the land can not be measured purely in technical financial returns, but consideration must be given to the cultural, social and environmental returns which are difficult to put a price on and are increasingly disregarded. Therefore although serious consideration should be given to the direct financial return to the crown this should also be extended to indirect returns that contributions to to the environment and the community in terms of greenspace, ecology and recreation can bring not only to the immediate community but the rest of Christchurch as well.

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

No, I do not believe that using this land for residential purposes would maximise the returns both financially to the crown and the non monetary benefits to the community. This land provides an excellent opportunity to retain more green space that would really enhance the environment and quality of life for Cantabrians. The land is likely to have reduced retail value for residential purposes due to previously been red zoned.

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

No Response Received

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

No Response Received

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

No Response Received

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

No Response Received

HORNBY - COMMUNITY BOARD

Claire Exton

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

No Response Received

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

No Response Received

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

No Response Received

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

No Response Received

Mike Mora

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

Support 100%

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

Support 100%

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

Support the benefits should not be by way of a financial return to the Govt.

The return must be for the economic, and Environmental value of the Cultural, Social, Environmental, and Community to the City, Province and NZ.

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

Any Residential use of the Red Zone area MUST be limited, Future Proof, and Safe from any Future effects of Earthquakes, Sea Level rise and Climate change.

Natalie Bryden

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

No Response Received

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

No Response Received

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

No Response Received

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

No Response Received

Melwyn (Mel) Rodricks

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

YES

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

Yes of course.

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

I support that EVERY consideration should be given PRIMARILY to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental returns and benefits for the communities, city and country. Every decision in Life has to be taken only after carefully studying and evaluating the ECOLOGY of the decision.

'He aha te mea nui o te ao

What is the most important thing in the world?

He tangata, he tangata, he tangata

It is the people, it is the people, it is the people'.

The Crown would not exist, without People.

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

Mother Nature has spoken and continues to speak to Us. We cannot impose our will on our mothers, let alone Mother Nature. So as above, whatever redress has to be considered for residents of the Red Zone, please, in the interests of the Residents & their children & their children, wherever and how any redevelopment may be undertaken, let the ecology of the decisions be firstly considered.

Pauline Cotter

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

Yes ! I believe the opportunities for the RRZ are really exciting, especially with community groups being so incredibly pro active and creative in their engagement. Community led outcomes are stronger, more relevant and valued. Christchurch's share an idea told us loud and clear we want a green, sustainable city. The ideas put forth so far are all along these lines. I am confident RC will work with the community for the best outcome.

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

Yes !

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

I suspect the Crown will be looking to re coup financial investment where it can, although this has not been stated, and there may be a way this can happen in places. However I certainly do not think this should be a main driver, and I would not support opportunities for environmental, cultural and social benefits be lost if financial gain was to be the priority.

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

I support the RRZ future to be determined by the community and RC working together for the best outcome. The area, with it's challenges and opportunities lends itself to a multi purpose outcome, and I am very strongly supportive of the environmental and ecological opportunities to be grasped.

Ali Jones

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

Yes in principle

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

Yes in principle

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

The latter, in principle

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

Yes definitely. I can't say exactly where as I'd need to look carefully at land reports and it would need to for with whatever other development is confirmed. I'd like to see retirement developments, where people can live together with gardens, walks, food forests around them. I'd also like to see areas for residential where shared facilities such as vegetable gardens, play areas and so on can be used by families.

Jo Byrne

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

As long as the primary needs of our city are prioritised including drainage and infrastructure.

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

Once drainage issues city wide are addressed I believe the next priority is ecology. I am loving seeing increased bird life in areas like Horseshoe Lake.

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

I think land in Christchurch is scarce and decisions on how the land is used need to be carefully made as a community using community values. I appreciate the values you express!

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

I think we can't exclude redevelopment of the red zone for residential use. It is a huge tract of valuable land, there is a shortage of land centrally and if it can be remediated so it is safe and doesn't impact negatively on other areas it would be sensible to consider it. But all decisions need to be made as a community. And all decisions need to be financially sound. I would imagine the areas close to the existing green zone would be logical extensions of living spaces, as it would be easier to connect with existing infrastructure. Decisions should be made prudently in regards to cost.

Alexandra Davids

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

Yes both personally and as the Chair of Keep Christchurch Beautiful. All of the points are hugely important to the future of our city. We now have an opportunity to shape and mould areas of our city into sustainable, versatile, environmentally friendly, adaptable and economically viable areas that will attract both adults and young people alike. A multipurpose city to sea river park is an exciting prospect for us and our future generations.

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

Absolutely. Christchurch is already known as the "Garden City" and now we can literally start bringing this name back to life. We were once proud of it and now we are living in a time that we can be once more. We would be nowhere without water and I am passionate about seeing our waterways cleaned up and our ecosystem regenerated.

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

I believe cultural, social, and environmental returns and benefits to communities are of utmost importance. People are at the heart of a city and we need to value what the people in Christchurch have expressed are of importance to them which is all of the above. This does of course have to be economically viable.

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

I would support the redevelopment of some land in the red zone that is not prone to flooding. It would be fantastic to see this done in an environmentally sustainable way that allowed dwellings to run from natural sources where possible, and be designed in a way that would tie in with nature. This smaller residential area could lead the way as an example of what can be done to protect and promote environmentally sustainable living for future generations and pave the way for a healthier more liveable tomorrow. It's an exciting suggestion to explore. However, importantly I don't feel the Crown should profit from the acquisition of red zoned land if it is now deemed that it can be built on. This raises a complex issue that needs to be explored further.

Scott Toomey

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

No Response Received

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

No Response Received

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

No Response Received

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

No Response Received

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

Yes. The idea of that we create something extraordinary with the environment that covers the red zone is absolutely the right thing to do. It must be a place that respects nature, and yet enables people to experience a strong connection to and have positive engagement with the economic, environmental, social, and cultural aspects of our community's well being. I put forward the idea that instead of building a \$400 million dollar convention centre in the middle of the city, that we should build an 'Unconventional Centre' in the red zone for a fraction of the price. It could be carbon neutral and built from fully sustainable materials and processes that highlight a modern, dynamic, and environmentally friendly city. It would be unique and serve as a catalyst for experiencing Christchurch as a world leader in environmentally sustainable design and development. The unconventional centre could be our environmental stadium where people come to gather, to learn, and to experience our special place on the planet. Much of what has gained Christchurch attention since the earthquakes has been the new and exciting unconventional interventions such as gap filler, greening the rubble, and life in vacant spaces. We should learn from such success and showcase this through a commitment to new ways of doing things around the red zone and our anchor projects rather than repeating the past by building big white elephants in the central city.

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

As a principle I support the aim of enhancing our water quality, our biodiversity, and our mahinga kai values through the use of native ecosystems. I do believe however that this must be done in a way that respects and balances the historical significance and environmental value of mature exotic plantings. I have been concerned at the large number of notable trees that have had their protection removed from the District Plan.

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

No to the first part, and amen to the second. Of course the decision on future use should be made than just seeking an economic return. There is an intrinsic value of having a great place to enjoy through its environment and recreation opportunities that is impossible to measure in monetary terms.

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

The only way I would ever support redevelopment of the red zone for residential use is if the people who were displaced from it were supportive, and were given the opportunity to have their homes and land back. I do not think it would fair or just to redevelop it and profit off the people who had to move on unless they gave it their blessing. The key point as an additional question which you haven't asked is about the process. I think that whatever decisions are made on the future use of the Red Zone, they must be done by local collaboration, with local people, and the local city council being at the heart of those decisions. I do not support the establishment of more diluted decision making structures that further remove accountability and transparency of decisions about the future of this city. They should be public processes that have a democratic ethos based on local participation and representation.

Brenda Lowe-Johnson

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

Positive environmental and ecological outcomes are essential. Positive social outcomes are also key, given the history of the land acquisition, and the unique opportunity presented to regenerate this large space. The regeneration of the RRZ will almost certainly be the subject of a regeneration plan. Community engagement and input will be necessary. Community input needs to be respected and needs to play a crucial part in determining the outcomes.

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

Strongly support maximum possible restoration of ecosystems and mahina kai values within the context of community lead decision making

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

I support significant consideration should also be given to the economic values returns and benefits to our communities, and city.

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

Strongly support the redevelopment of the red zone, community gardens to be manned by different sectors of society it would be good for those in poverty to buy reasonable priced vegetables. Containers could be placed on the red zone for the homeless if a disaster happens again they could be transferred somewhere else Thank you for giving me the chance to place this submission

Jack Singh

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

We will work for the benefit of rate payers regarding red zone case. It is not late. The earthquake repairing is going on and it will take sometime.

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

Jackie Simons

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

Yes

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

Yes

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

Future use should not be to provide a return to the crown. I feel this land should be 'gifted' to the people of Christchurch to develop a Park for the enjoyment of the people and visitors to the area.

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

I do not support the redevelopment for residential use. This land should be set aside not only in respect of the people who lost their homes but also for all Christchurch residents who lived through the 2012 earthquake and the days following.

John STRINGER

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

Yes

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

Yes alongside inevitable shared impact with human recreational activities

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

I want greater non financial outcomes considered too; such as the benefits of natural flora and fauna flourishing in our city including introduced species such as trout and bird life as an expression of a healthy city

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

I favour red zone areas being phased out over time as intensive residential areas because of the long term non-sustainability re future earthquakes and re-using these spaces as sports areas; as natural parks and other creative uses - such as water sports (rowing; kids yachting; fishing; bird watching) and perhaps bike tracks; jogging pathways; dog walking; nature walks; etc) I support more intensive natural planting to regenerate these areas as original Canterbury bush stands such as at Papanui that attracted settlers to this area and as at Deans bush so that we can re-enjoy red zone areas in a different way together

Mike Davidson

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

I personally support this, however it is important that the people of Christchurch are fully engaged and involved with the future development of the Red Zone.

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

I personally support this, however it is important that the people of Christchurch are fully engaged and involved with the future development of the Red Zone.

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

I do not support the decision making on the future of the Red Zone being based purely on the financial return to the Crown.

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

We have the chance to create a legacy for future generations with the Red Zone and this will not happen if it is returned to residential housing. It is important that the public are fully engaged and involved.

PAPANUI - COMMUNITY BOARD

Emma Norrish

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

Yes, definitely. A river park such as this would be a destination we could be hugely proud of as a city.

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

Yes. This restoration should be the highest priority when developing the area, whatever it's eventual use is.

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

I understand that the Crown may be seeking a financial return, but priority should be given to restoring the area as a natural river park. The value of the cultural, social and environmental returns outweigh the financial returns, to the local communities, Christchurch and New Zealand.

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

Priority should be given to restoring the area as a multi-purpose River Park, with residential areas only around the outskirts of the Park if space allows. Such residential areas could be required to be eco-friendly, fitting in with the surrounding natural environment.

George Patena

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

The development of a city-to-sea river park represents great opportunity and potential for the benefit of the city and region. I support the potential and opportunity to enhance our natural environment creating a sense of belonging and familiarity, linking our river and red zoned communities and offering tangible opportunities for social cohesion, collaborative projects, citywide community participation and engagement.

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

I fully support native ecosystem restoration within the Ōtākaro Red Zone. Native and sustainable landscaping to manage storm water, reduce maintenance, and promote plant and wildlife conservation maximises biodiversity opportunities. The unique heritage and biodiversity of the Otakaro and its environs are integral connectors to communities present and past, and the values of our partnership with mana whenua in protecting a significant taonga / asset or treasure.

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

I acknowledge citywide communities have contributed significantly to future possibilities, initiatives and options deciding future use. However, supporting economic benefits and returns when considering the valued investment culturally, socially, and environmentally, by the people of those communities, is of greatest importance.

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

I do not support residential redevelopment in the red zone

Nathan McCluskey

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

No Response Received

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

No Response Received

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

No Response Received

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

No Response Received

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

I am personally supportive. The role of a Community Board is to represent, and advocate for, the interests of its community, and to that end I will ensure the views of the Papanui-Innes community are reflected, should the Community Board have a role in relation to future use of the red zone.

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

This makes sense to me personally. I will ensure the views of the Papanui-Innes community are reflected, should the Community Board have a role in relation to future use of the red zone.

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

Any future use of the red zone will have non-financial impacts, and I expect decision-making to take that into consideration.

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

Improving the availability of affordable housing has previously been identified as a priority by the Shirley-Papanui Community Board. As such it seems reasonable to consider residential use of red zone land as an option, especially if it could have a material impact on the availability/affordability of housing in the city. Assuming it was technically and financially viable, returning some red zone land to residential use could be a win-win, by increasing housing supply while improving the business case for the River Park.

Anthony Rimell

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

Yes: our city needs to be a vibrant, eco-friendly place that values and empowers communities as well as growing business.

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

I believe we need to engage with the affected communities and the Tangata Whenua over ensuring we gain the best possible balance; our communities need to 'own' the outcomes, so their voices need to be heard. That means genuine dialogue over the 'maximum', and how that will enhance our city's bio-diversity while enhancing our communities.

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

Neo-liberal models that value everything purely financial returns have been shown to be inadequate. We need to prioritise other values: cultural, environmental, social. Aside from anything else, there is no economic return from a murdered planet.

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

I believe that community engagement over the mix of land use must include if, how, and how much land should be set aside for residential use. That said, the land has been red-zoned for a reason: it was deemed unviable to return it to residential use. Advances in engineering may change this in future, but this must be only one of the range of options for the land that communities get to speak into.

Raf Manji

Do you support a multipurpose city-to-sea River Park?

Yes.

Do you support the maximum possible restoration of native ecosystems within the Ōtākaro Red Zone?

Yes, including a predator free zone similar to Zealandia in Wellington.

Should the basis for deciding future use be purely financial return to the Crown, or should significant consideration also be given to the economic value of cultural, social and environmental benefits?

It's crucial to use an ecosystem services framework to understand the full range of values offered by an ecological approach to land use.

Do you support the redevelopment of red zone land for residential use?

Only in very specific areas: where an original community could return or where there are very clear opportunities for long term sustainable housing, which fits into the environment.